

# Evolution 101

## Lesson 3

We have already learned that microevolution, the Law of Cause and Effect, the First and Second Laws of Thermodynamics refute macroevolution. There is more.

**4. The Law of Biogenesis** teaches that life comes only from life, not lifeless chemicals. An atom does not have an attribute of life. A lifeless chemical cannot cause an effect (life) that it does not possess itself which then causes additional millions of greater effects to end as people. No such event has ever been observed. If a living cell were ever created from nonlife by human design, i.e. using intelligent input ... it would not prove macroevolution since it would not have been a spontaneous, random event.

But the atheists keep reminding us with their glowing tales of how Time, Chance and Environment somehow brought aliveness into being. First of all, Time is not physical and cannot be the cause of anything. If milk turns sour over time, Time did not cause it. The milk did it all by itself. Chance is not physical and cannot cause anything. Chance can predict the occurrence of events if it is known for sure that from observation or design they can occur. A lottery can be won since it was designed to be won. By experimentation, we know that by flipping 100 pennies, the result will end up with about 50 heads and 50 tails.

If a rare event can first be designed to occur such as a scientist creating life in a test tube from lifeless chemicals, then it would be arguably feasible to claim that natural processes could do it also by chance. But natural processes have not been observed to duplicate any creative work of a living creature such as an arrowhead.

**5. The Law of Mass Action** teaches that chemical reactions always tend to move toward equilibrium. The chemical reactions that combine amino acids to form proteins are reversible. The energy source that builds them up will also tear them down.

If the balance of water is on the protein side of the reaction, the protein will break down into amino acids. Breaking down (Second Law) is certain unless the building up is directed by intelligence and design. Even then, the Second Law eventually wins. Chemical reactions seek equilibrium where nothing can happen. Living systems are highly unstable. An input of ordering energy from the environment by design will maintain stability in living systems for a while but eventually they succumb to the Second Law, equilibrium and death. Natural processes of science do not have attributes of organization and design. These come from a transcending intelligence such as a man or God.

**6. The Law of Inertia** declares that an object at rest or in motion will remain at rest or in motion unless acted upon by an outside force. What was the outside force that caused the so-called Big Bang explosion and gave us something out of nothing or near nothing? Physics and chemistry have no answer.

**7. The Law of Angular Momentum** declares that radial motion as produced in an exploding bomb or the so-called Big Bang explosion cannot change into orbital or circular motion or condense into lumps in space without an outside force. What is the outside force that gave us condensing, spinning planets and revolving galaxies? Physics and chemistry have no answer.

**8. The Law of Probability** denies that time, chance and environment can explain origins. Leading scientists admit that the probability of just one specific protein, the building block of a living cell, arising in this manner is about one in  $10^{520}$  while thousands are needed to produce a simple form of life. Compare that probability to  $10^{130}$  the number of atoms needed to fill our universe from end to end. Try the following experiment:

Place aspirins on a tabletop (*right*) and then subject them to an influx of random energy from a massage vibrator applied to the tabletop. Evolution theory says that, if given enough time, the aspirin arrangements will change from top to bottom. Do you believe that? Of course not. Would you say, "Ridiculous?" Of course you would. Would you believe just the opposite order, bottom to top? Certainly! The Second Law ordains that an influx of random energy into a system will always cause random effects.

**9. The Fossil Record** is supposedly the historical record of evolution. But nowhere does the fossil record show an undisputed transition of one kind of organism evolving into a more complex one. There should be literally millions of them if macroevolution were true.

**10. Evolution Requires Billions of Years of Time** for which there is no empirical proof. The most popular natural clock is the decay of Uranium 238 into Lead 206 that is assumed to give an age of 4.5 billion years for the earth. For this to be true, several assumptions have to be made:

1. The clock was set to zero (no lead) at the start.
2. No uranium leached into or out of the sample during all that time.
3. No lead leached into or out of the sample during all that time.
4. No catastrophic events occurred which would reset the clock.
5. The decay rate has remained constant during all that time.

None of these assumptions is reasonable for a creationist. His initial conditions and subsequent processes would

differ. Who decides whose assumptions are correct? Creationists do not accept a majority vote of atheists claiming to be scientists.

There are scores of natural clocks and only a few give a long age for the earth while many of them using the same present processes of physics and chemistry give a very young age for the earth. That a star is claimed without proof to be 4.5 billion light years away does not mean that the earth is that old. The speed of light at the moment of creation by unknown metaphysical processes may have been infinite and, therefore, irrelevant to the issue of age.

Creationists do not quibble about the real facts but contend that facts do not explain themselves. The meaning of facts or determination of truth in science is not decided by a majority vote. Creationists contend that their interpretation of the facts is compatible with the laws of science. They abide by empirical proof, which is always self-evident. Macroevolution makes a sham of the laws of science.

**[www.FineTunedUniverse.com](http://www.FineTunedUniverse.com)**